

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nept.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,624	04/12/2007	Akihiko Miyamoto	042269	1499
	7590 11/23/201 N. HATTORI, DANIEI	EXAMINER		
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			YOUNG, RACHEL T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3771	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/23/2011	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail  $\,$  address(es):

patentmail@whda.com

## Applicant-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/578,624	MIYAMOTO, AKIHIKO			
Examiner	Art Unit			
RACHEL YOUNG	3771			

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) RACHEL YOUNG.	(3)				
(2) Ryan Chimomas.	(4)				
Date of Interview: 18 November 2011.					
Type:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant	applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:  Yes  No. If Yes, brief description:					
Issues Discussed 1101 1112 1102 1103 1103 1107 1108 1108 1109 1109 1109 1109 1109 1109					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-2</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> .					
Substance of Interview					

Regarding the 112 relection discussing if a noise is made when the inhaler aid is not connected to an inhaler, examiner suggested changing the limitation to recite something similar to—when the inhaler aid is joined to a nouthpiece of the inhaler for the minute powderv curative medicine, a sound is produced from the reed only when an inhalation has been correctly performed—. Applicant agreed to consider this chance that would overcome the 112 rejection. Regarding paragraph 5 on page 3 of the previous non-final rejection dated 9/9/11, examiner incorrectly stated that there were joint inventors and applicates for this error. Finally, applicant submitted proposed claim amendments to claims 1 and 2 more specifically describing the shape of the horn shaped function of the inhaler aid. However, although the proposed shape is different from that of the art of record, it appears that changing the shape of the horn multiple types of inhalers and is critical to their invention and mentioned providing evidence to that. However, it appears that especially with the silicon rubber material of the applied references, the modified Kinkade inhaler is down to be created as with the processed shape, and

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a

reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

claim amendments. Examiner awaits applicant's formal response .

Applicant recordation instructions: The formal written reply to the last Office action must include the substance of the interview. (See MPER section 73.9.4) If a reply to the last Office action that calready been filled, applicant is given a non-extendable period of the interview that the days from this interview date, or the mailing date of this interview summary form, whichever is later, to file a statement of the substance of the interview.

that changing the shape to fit other inhalers as well as multiple types of inhalers is not so unobvious if a user is required to use multiple inhalers or switch to an alternate inhaler. No agreement was reached regarding the proposed

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the internit Isted in IMEPF 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

/RACHEL T YOUNG/	/Justine R Yu/
Examiner, Art Unit 3771	Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3771

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 8/11/2010)

Interview Summary

Paper No. 20111118

### Application No. 10578624

## Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview

### Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

in every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

### 37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

- A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:
- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the
- Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner.
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
  - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully
    - describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

### **Examiner to Check for Accuracy**

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.